

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/609,269	06/30/2000	Donald Kadyk	13768.109.1	2137
47973	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	N NYDEGGER/MICR	LY, ANH VU H		
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84111		2667	

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/609,269	KADYK, DONALD			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anh-Vu H Ly	2667			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to comm	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 October 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-14,16-21 and 23-47 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-14,16-21 and 23-47 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	)					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC		4) Interview Summary				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date October 2</li> </ul>	nt(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/609,269

Art Unit: 2667

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 21, 2004 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-14, 16-21, and 23-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowker, D. O. et al (EP 0872990 A1) in view of Bouis et al (US Patent No. 6,741,608 B1). Hereinafter, referred to as Bowker and Bouis.

With respect to claim 1, 11-13, 18-19, and 44, Bowker discloses in Fig. 1, a functional block diagram representing a Broker Application Server (BAS) (herein, the BAS is considered as a gateway by the examiner) for facilitating communications between one or more senders and one or more receivers over a digital packet network. Bowker discloses in Fig. 3, that the BAS receives data packets from the sender according to a first format 302 (receiving data in a first data format from an originating computing system that is addressed to a remote computer

Application/Control Number: 09/609,269

Art Unit: 2667

system). Bowker discloses (page 5, lines 5-10) that if the data is not in the preferred format of receiver 14, control is transferred to a first transcoder 116 and the data is transcoded into a common or generic format (intermediate data format) (an act of converting the data from the first data format into an intermediate data format using first format conversion module in the sequence of data conversion modules). The data now in a common format is then further transcoded in a second transcoder 118 in to the preferred format of the receiver 14 (an act of converting the data from the intermediate data format into the second data format using at least second format conversion module in the sequence of data conversion modules). Herein, the common and the preferred format of the receiver are identified as a sequence of format conversion modules by the examiner for converting the received data from the sender to the preferred format of the receiver as a function of the extracted destination address (wherein the act of identifying is based on the address to the remote computer system). Bowker discloses in Figs. 1, 2, and 5, that the conversion takes place before sending the data to the receivers (prior to transmitting the data to the remote computer system so that the remote computer system does not have to convert the data into the second data format). Bowker discloses in Fig. 3, that after converting the data packets to the preferred data format of the receiver, the data packets are forwarded to the receiver 324 (upon converting the data to the second data format, transmitting the data to the remote computer system).

Bowker does not disclose using at least two second format conversion modules in the sequence of data conversion modules, for converting the data from intermediate data format into the second data format, wherein each of the second format conversion modules converting the data into different formats.

Bouis discloses in Fig. 6A, a method of transcoding streaming data using a sequence of conversion modules B, C, and A. Herein, conversion module B converts the input data into the internal data format (intermediate data format), conversion module C converts the internal data format into another data format, and then conversion module A converts another data format into the preferred format (second data format) (using at least two conversion modules in the sequence of data conversion modules for converting the intermediate data format into second data format). Herein, each conversion module converts one format into a specific output format.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include at least two conversion modules for converting the intermediate data format into the preferred data format in Bowker's system, as suggested by Bouis, to accommodate format diversity.

With respect to claims 3, 16, and 23, Bowker discloses (page 4, lines 53 - 54) that the data is extracted from the packet (identifying the first data format by reading a content type field associated with the data).

With respect to claims 4, 17, and 24, Bowker discloses in Fig. 3, step 304 that address information stored in the packet is examined (an act of reading a destination address field associated with the data). Further, in step 310, preferred format of addressed receiver is determined by looking up information stored in memory 103, Fig. 1 (an act of querying a database for a data format and determining the resulting data format associated with the remote

Art Unit: 2667

computer system that is represented by the destination address within the destination address field).

With respect to claims 5, 7, 9, 25, 27, 29, 37-38, and 40, Bowker discloses in Fig. 5, a network environment comprising plurality of senders (originating devices) and receivers (remote devices) and BAS. Bowker does not disclose remote and originating computer system comprising a wireless device. However, it is known in the art a plurality of handheld devices such as PDAs, palmtops, pocket computers have been widely used, in wireless communications network, to display emails, text, graphics, etc... Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a wireless device in the network environment of Bowker, to accommodate and display information for mobile users.

With respect to claims 6, 8, 10, 26, 28, 30, and 39, Bowker discloses (page 4, lines 23-30) that the sender 12 can be an individual computer (herein, the individual computer is considered as a server by the examiner) (originating computer system comprising a server computer system), a network node, a PoP of an ISP, or any other device, which transmits digitized packets. The receiver 14 may suitably be a general-purpose personal computer (herein, the general purpose personal computer is considered as a server by the examiner) (the remote computer system comprising a server computer system) or an Internet or web terminal with more limited functionality.

Art Unit: 2667

With respect to claims 14 and 34, Bowker discloses in Fig. 1, the BAS comprising a memory 103 for storing preferred format of receivers (computer readable medium comprising a physical storage medium).

With respect to claims 20-21, 31-33, and 35, Bowker discloses in Fig. 1, a functional block diagram representing a Broker Application Server (BAS) (herein, the BAS is considered as a gateway by the examiner) for facilitating communications between one or more senders and one or more receivers over a digital packet network. Bowker discloses in Fig. 3, that the BAS receives data packets from the sender according to a first format 302 (receiving data in a first data format from an originating computing system that is addressed to a remote computer system). Bowker discloses (page 5, lines 5-10) that if the data is not in the preferred format of receiver 14, control is transferred to a first transcoder 116 and the data is transcoded into a common or generic format (intermediate data format) (an act of converting the data from the first data format into an intermediate data format using first format conversion module in the sequence of data conversion modules). The data now in a common format is then further transcoded in a second transcoder 118 in to the preferred format of the receiver 14 (an act of converting the data from the intermediate data format into the second data format using at least second format conversion module in the sequence of data conversion modules). Herein, the common or generic format and the preferred format of the receiver are identified as a sequence of format conversion modules by the examiner for converting the received data from the sender to the preferred format of the receiver as a function of the extracted destination address. Bowker discloses in Figs. 1, 2, and 5, that the conversion takes place before sending the data to the

Application/Control Number: 09/609,269

Art Unit: 2667

receivers (prior to transmitting the data to the remote computer system so that the remote computer system does not have to convert the data into the second data format). Bowker discloses in Fig. 3, that after converting the data packets to the preferred data format of the receiver, the data packets are forwarded to the receiver 324 (upon converting the data to the second data format, transmitting the data to the remote computer system).

Bowker does not disclose identifying a plurality of sequences of format conversion modules and using one of plurality of sequences of format conversion modules and wherein identifying the different sequences is based upon the address associated with the data and the remote computer system.

Bouis discloses (col. 6, lines 40-43) that the transcoding controller 410 also determines the combinations or paths (plurality of sequences) of stream conversion modules that can convert from the source format into the destination format according to the destination address. Herein, the each comprises a sequence of conversion modules. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the features of identifying plurality of sequences of format conversion modules and using one of the plurality of sequences of format conversion modules in Bowker's system, as suggested by Bouis, as a function of calculated paths load.

With respect to claims 36 and 41, Bowker discloses (page 4, lines 23-30) that the sender 12 can be an individual computer (herein, the individual computer is considered as a server computer system by the examiner), a network node, a PoP of an ISP, or any other device, which transmits digitized packets. The receiver 14 may suitably be a general-purpose personal

Art Unit: 2667

computer (herein, the general purpose personal computer is considered as a server computer system by the examiner) or an Internet or web terminal with more limited functionality.

With respect to claims 42 and 43, Bowker discloses in Fig. 1, a method and apparatus for converting data formats between one or more senders and one or more receivers over a digital packet network. Bowker does not disclose the act of identifying the sequence is based on an amount of time it will take to convert the data from the first data format into the second data format. Bouis discloses (col. 6, lines 46-48) that the transcoding controller 410 compares the path system loads to determine which path will produce the lease amount of load or cost on the system. Herein, processing load is a function of time to accomplish a certain task. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the comparisons in Bowker's apparatus, as suggested by Bouis, to optimize the network.

With respect to claim 45, Bowker discloses (col. 3, lines 35-39) that a database for storing the preferred formats of customers or a storage to hold preferred format information derived at the establishment of a connection between the customer and the BAS. Herein, the database identifies the preferred data format as a function of the receiver address (wherein the address of the remote computer system is provided to the gateway when the remote computer system registers with the gateway).

Application/Control Number: 09/609,269 Page 9

Art Unit: 2667

With respect to claims 46 and 47, Bowker discloses in Fig. 3, the address of the receiver is extracted and examined (address is a telephone or a URL).

### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H Ly whose telephone number is 571-272-3175. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

avl

CHI PHAM

low

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000 (7)